

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	E	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/729,660	12/04/2000		Donald F. Gordon	19880003810	6347	
56015	7590 04/1	13/2006		EXAMINER		
PATTERSO	ON & SHERIDA	N, LLP/		SALCE, J	ASON P	
	TENT SERVICES	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	SBURY AVENU	Ł		ARTONI	TAI ER NOMBER	
SUITE 100				2623		
SHREWSBU	JRY, NJ 07702					

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/729,660	GORDON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason P. Salce	2623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address -	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a repl vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communical IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Fe	ehruary 2006		
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		s, prosecution as to the merits	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	· ·		
Disposition of Claims			
. 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-29</u> is/are pending in the application	1		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	William Consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-29</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers	·		
<u> </u>	_		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable		the Eveminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			1(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		lication No.	
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
application from the International Bureau			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ceived.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sun		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	210 фримани (г то тог)	

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-17 and 30-31 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 2/8/2006.

The examiner notes that a transmitter/headend, receipt of a bitstream signal and receiver are classified in areas 725/144, 725/54 and 725/151, respectively. Searching for these components and process place serious burden on the examiner based on these separate classifications as well as the distinct nature of where these components reside in a video distribution network.

Therefore, the restriction requirement is made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 18-19, 21-24 and 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Hanaya et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,519,009).

Art Unit: 2623

Referring to claim 18, Hanaya discloses a method for producing a user interface having included therein a plurality of regions (see Figure 19 and Column 9, Lines 30-35). The examiner notes that the EPG of Figure 19 displays multiple regions.

Hanaya discloses receiving a bitstream comprising packets for a plurality of slices for a guide region of the user interface (see Column 9, Lines 36-54 for transmitting the plurality of slices (programs and channel displayed in the EPG) for a guide region (the program and channel display region of Figure 12) of the user interface and Figure 4 for the receiver that receives the bitstream including the program guide data), wherein each slice is designated for presentation at a particular slice location in the guide region (see Figure 19 which displays a program guide with multiple slices for each program and channel), and wherein multiple slices are transmitted for each of at least one slice location in the guide region (see Figure 12 for multiple programs being transmitted for a particular channel, therefore multiple program slices are transmitted for each of at least one channel slice location in the guide region (the channel and program section display in Figure 19)).

Hanaya also discloses retrieving from the bitstream, packets for a set of slices for the guide region (see Figure 9 for transmitting multiple packets containing sets of slices, Figure 12 for transmitting a set of slices (program and channel) for the guide region, and Figure 4 and Column 9, Lines 62-65 for retrieving from the bitstream the packets transmitted).

Art Unit: 2623

Hanaya also discloses decoding the retrieved packets to form the guide region of the user interface (see Column 9, Line 65 through Column 10, Line 6).

Referring to claim 19, Hanaya discloses that a plurality of sets of slices are received for the guide region (see Figure 12 for receiving multiple channels and multiple programs for each channel), decoding packets for the plurality of sets of slices (see Column 9, Line 65 through Column 10, Line 6) and presenting the plurality of sets of slices in the guide region (see Figure 19) at times designated by the header associated with the slices (see Figure 12 for the header containing the start time and time length of when the program is to be displayed in the program guide).

Referring to claim 21, Hanaya discloses receiving a user selection for a particular slice location of the guide region (see Figure 18 for the selection of the General Guide slice location).

Hanaya also discloses retrieving from the bitstream, packets for an additional slice associated with the selected slice location (see Figure 12 for receiving numerous channels and programs).

Hanaya also discloses decoding the retrieved packets for the additional slice (see Column 9, Line 65 through Column 10, Line 6) to form an updated user interface having included therein the additional slice (see Figure 19 for the display of the General Guide selection, which displays an updated user interface based on the current time of day the viewer activates the program guide).

Art Unit: 2623

Referring to claim 22, Hanaya discloses that each slice includes a header indicative of a start location and a stop location for the slice (see Figure 12 for the header portion comprising a start time and guide length).

Referring to claim 23, Hanaya discloses that the header for each slice is a slice start code defined by the MPEG-2 standard (see Column 6, Lines 7-24).

Referring to claim 24, Hanaya discloses that each decoded slice is presented at a location identified by the header (see Figure 19 for programs being displayed at on their respective channels and start and end times).

Referring to claim 26, Hanaya discloses recombining the slices for the guide region with slices for at least one additional region in the user interface (see Figure 19 for the program description window, which displays a description of the program highlighted in element 212). The examiner notes that everytime a particular slice is selected in the guide region then a slice representing the program description will be displayed in the additional region in the user interface.

Referring to claim 27, Hanaya discloses that the recombining is performed in accordance with a splicing syntax defined by the MPEG-2 standard (see Column 10, Lines 1-17 for decoding the EPG data according to the MPEG-2 standard, therefore the program title data and the program description that are displayed together according to the data separated and then recombined on the screen according to the MPEG-2 standard).

Referring to claim 28, see the rejection of claim 18.

Art Unit: 2623

Referring to claim 29, Hanaya discloses that one or more encoded slices for each slice location include guide data for an interactive program guide (see Figures 12 and 19).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 20 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanaya et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,519,009) in view of Ihara (U.S. Patent No. 6,266,813).

Referring to claim 20, Hanaya discloses all of the limitations of claim 18, as well as multiplexing the program guide data (plurality of sets of slices) at Column 2, Line 61 through Column 3, Line 11, but fails to teach the use of a time division multiplexing scheme.

Ihara discloses the use of a TDM scheme for combining program guide data along with television programs (see Column 3, Line 66 through Column 4, Line 19).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the multiplexing method, as taught by Hanaya, using the TDM method, as taught by Ihara, for the purpose of allowing

Application/Control Number: 09/729,660 Page 7

Art Unit: 2623

more data to be sent over a physical medium and providing a better quality of service.

Referring to claim 25, Hanaya discloses all of the limitations of claim 22, but fails to teach modifying a particular property of each of one or more decoded slices for presentation at locations on the user interface different from locations identified by headers of the decoded slices.

Ihara discloses at Column 2, Line 39 through Column 3, Line 17, rearranging the air time of programs in the case where a broadcast program runs over it's allotted time (such as a football running past it's scheduled broadcast time), thereby modifying a particular property of each of one or more decoded slices (the programs being broadcasted) at locations on the user interface (where the programs will be displayed) from locations identified by headers of the decoded slices (the program guide data already received for display).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the program guide transmission system, as taught by Hanaya, using the time correction program guide transmission system functionality, as taught by Ihara, for the purpose of providing a transmission system capable of responding easily and quickly to prolongation of air time (see Column 2, Lines 41-42 of Ihara).

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2623

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason P. Salce whose telephone number is (571) 272-7301. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on (571) 272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jason P Salce Patent Examiner Art Unit 2623

April 10, 2006

farm Jahr